

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Favorable reconsideration of this application as presently amended and in light of the following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-4, 6-10 and 14-26 are pending in the present application. Claims 1, 10 and 14 having been amended and claims 12 and 13 having been canceled by the present amendment.

In the outstanding Office Action, claims 1-4, 6-10 and 12-26 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by Isomursu et al., which is respectfully traversed.

Amended independent claim 1 is directed to a method of sending personal information using a mobile terminal including displaying a first window prompting a user to select between a personal information setting mode and a personal information transmission mode, prompting the user to enter personal information data to be transmitted when the user selects the personal information setting mode, and re-displaying the first window prompting the user to select between the personal information setting mode and the personal information transmission mode after the user enters the personal information data and prior to transmission of the personal information data. The method also includes prompting the user to enter a phone number corresponding to a receiving mobile terminal to receive the personal information data when the user selects the personal information transmission mode, inputting the phone number corresponding to a receiving mobile terminal to receive the

personal information data, inserting identification information distinguishing the personal information data from other types of data into a user data field of a short message, and transmitting the personal information data to said receiving mobile terminal using a Short Message Service (SMS) with the identification information. Independent claim 14 includes similar features in a varying scope.

In a non-limiting example, Figures 4A-4F illustrates a user being prompted to enter and then transmit information. In more detail, Figure 4A illustrates displaying a first window prompting a user to select between a personal information setting mode and a personal information transmission mode, and Figure 4B illustrates prompting the user to enter personal information data to be transmitted when the user selects the personal information setting mode in Figure 4A. Other personal information data may also be added as shown in Figure 4C, for example. Figure 4D illustrates redisplaying the first window prompting the user to select between the personal information setting mode and the personal information transmission mode after the user enters the personal information data and prior to transmission of the personal information data. Figures 4E and 4F illustrate prompting the user to enter a phone number corresponding to a receiving mobile terminal and transmitting the data.

Thus, because the first window is redisplayed as shown in Figure 4D, for example, the entry of the personal information is clearly distinguished from the entry information

corresponding to a phone number of a receiving terminal. This is particular advantageous in that the user is more likely prevented from sending personal information to a wrong telephone number. That is, short messages may be typed and sent to the wrong intended person due to the ease of entering and sending this information. The present invention advantageously clearly distinguishes between the mode of entering the personal information and the mode of entering the receiving terminal phone number by re-displaying the first window as shown in Figure 4D (note Figure 4A also illustrates the first window). Thus, the user is consciously aware that the personal information entry mode has been completed and an extra input is required to then transition into the mode of entering the phone number of the receiving terminal. Thus, it is less likely the user will send personal information (which may be very personal) to an unintended recipient.

On the contrary, Isomursu et al. merely teach including an identifier along with data to inform the receiving terminal about what type of application is being sent to the receiving terminal. For example, Figure 6 illustrates the type of application being a business card type application that is processed by the receiving terminal. That is, the receiving terminal receives the information and then executes a business card type application program on the receiving terminal to process the data sent in Figure 6. Other types of applications include the "call me back" application in which a user may send a short message to another persons telephone with the message "call me back" and the number to call (see column 7, lines 57-69,

for example). However, there is no disclosure in Isomursu et al. about clearly distinguishing between a personal information setting mode and a personal information transmission mode. That is, Isomursu et al. does not teach or suggest re-displaying a window prompting a user to select between the personal information setting mode and the personal information transmission mode after the user enters the personal information data and prior to transmission of the personal information data.

Turning now to independent claim 10, which has been amended to include the subject matter recited in claims 12 and 13. In more detail, dependent claim 12 recites that in displaying the received short message, the method generates a tone to indicate a receipt of the received short message using one of either a speaker or a buzzer, when the received short message is displayed. Regarding the subject matter recited in claim 12, the Office Action indicated Isomursu teaches this feature and cites col. 14, lines 40-55. However, it is respectfully noted this section only describes updating a user about new ringing tones, but does not actually generate a tone when informing a user about the ringing tones. The ringing tones are only executed when the user selects the play-back option (see col. 14, lines 62-63, for example).

Further, original dependent claim 13 recites that the method stores the received short message in a telephone number list of the receiving mobile terminal. Regarding this feature, the Office Action cites col. 8, lines 1-15 of Isomursu. However, it is respectfully submitted

Serial No. 09/735,532
Amendment dated: January 6, 2005
Reply to Office Action of October 6, 2004

Docket No. K-0245

this section does not actually indicate that the received short message is stored in a telephone number list, but just that the terminal automatically offers the telephone of the receiver, which is picked up from the information of the call left unanswered (see col. 8, lines 12-15, for example).

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted independent claims 1, 10 and 14 and each of the claims depending therefrom are allowable.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance are earnestly solicited. If the Examiner believes that any additional changes would place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney, **David A. Bilodeau**, at the telephone number listed below.

Serial No. 09/735,532
Amendment dated: January 6, 2005
Reply to Office Action of October 6, 2004

Docket No. K-0245

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this, concurrent and future replies, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 16-0607 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP



Daniel Y.J. Kim, Esq.
Registration No. 36,186
David A. Bilodeau, Esq.
Registration No. 42,325

P.O. Box 221200
Chantilly, Virginia 20153-1200
703-766-3701 DYK/DAB:cre:lew
Date: January 6, 2005

Please direct all correspondence to Customer Number 34610

Q:\Documents\2016-755\46243.doc